# Assessing protected products for feed and ration formulation



Chuck Schwab Schwab Consulting, LLC, Boscobel, Wisconsin, USA Professor Emeritus, Animal and Nutritional Sciences University of New Hampshire, USA

# Ruminant Lys and Met supplements in North America



#### Lys supplements

- AjiPro<sup>®</sup> -L
- AminoShure-L
- Bovi-Lysine
- EB-Lys
- Lysine 35<sup>™</sup>
- LysiPEARL<sup>™</sup>
- Megamine-L<sup>™</sup>
- MetaboLys<sup>®</sup>
- NoviLys<sup>®</sup>
- Smartamine ML<sup>®</sup>
- USA Lysine<sup>™</sup>

#### Met supplements



# Methods used for determining efficacy of RPAA supplements and procedural shortcomings

#### In vitro methods

- Ammonia release
- Amino acid release (modified 3-step method)
  - In rumen buffer
  - In abomasal buffer
  - In intestinal buffer
- Amino acid release (Cornell/Ross assay)

# Methods used for determining efficacy of RPAA supplements and procedural shortcomings

#### In vitro methods

- Ammonia release
- Amino acid release (modified 3-step method)
  - In rumen buffer
  - In abomasal buffer
  - In intestinal buffer
- Amino acid release (Cornell/Ross assay)

No influence of animal effects

# Two examples of the modified 3-step in vitro approach for evaluating RPAA supplements

Miyazawa, Y., M. Miura, T. Fujieda, I. Shinzato, M.D. Stern and S.W. Fessenden. 2014. A three-step *in vitro* procedure for evaluating rumen-protected lysine products. Poster #M254, Abstract #1540 (Used a dissolution apparatus) (see poster)



Larson, H.E., I. Shinzato, M. Miura, I.J. Salfer, S. W. Fressenden, and M.D. Stern. 2015. Evaluation of three rumen-protected lysine sources produced in two different batches using a modified three-step *in vitro* procedure. Abstract #M422 (Used a media bottle system) (see poster)



The RPLs showed various characteristics; high/medium/low ruminal protection and high/low post-ruminal Lys release (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Three-step in vitro measurement of 6 RPLs.

# Methods used for determining efficacy of RPAA supplements and procedural shortcomings

#### In vitro methods

- Ammonia release
- Amino acid release (modified 3-step method)
  - In rumen buffer
  - In abomasal buffer
  - In intestinal buffer
- Amino acid release (Cornell/Ross assay)

#### In situ methods

- Amino acid loss from rumen and intestinal bags
- Effects of eating & rumination not considered
- Disappearance from rumen bags means degradation
- Passage rates are needed
- Disappearance from mobile bags means absorption
- Products subjected to hindgut digestion
- Cannot be used with fine or soluble products

## In situ evaluation of Mepron® M85 in late lactation dairy cows



	Hours of incubation in rumen					
	3	6	12	24	96	
	Losses, %					
Rumen <sup>1</sup>	6	8	22	38	87	
Postruminal <sup>2</sup>	63	63	52	43	9	
Fecal <sup>3</sup>	31	29	26	19	4	
Disappearance of Met entering small intestine						
	67	68	66	70	70	

<sup>1</sup>0.75 g of product incubated in polyester bags.

<sup>2</sup> Incubated bags inserted in duodenal cannula and recovered in feces.

<sup>3</sup> percentage of initial Met recovered in feces.

### *In situ* approach for measuring ruminal and postruminal losses of Met from Mepron<sup>®</sup> M85





In situ trial involving 2 cows

(2001)

with duodenal and terminal ileum cannula

Top-dressed with 72 g/d

DM intake = 12.4 kg/d

66% bypassed rumen, 82% of that disappeared in small

Disappeared in SI =54%

<sup>1</sup>1.5 g of product was incubated in polyester bags in 3 mature cattle (1 steer and 2 non-lactating cows) <sup>2</sup> Following rumen incubation for 4.5 h, bags were washed in water and then transferred into a pepsin-HCI solution (pH = 2.0) for 2.5 h to mimic abomasal digestion. Bags were then inserted into either duodenal or ileal cannula and recovered in the feces

#### Berthiaume et al. (2000, 2001)

# *In situ* and plasma Met responses to Mepron<sup>®</sup> M85



Rumen degradability = 22% [(A = 7%, B = 89%, C = 4%)  $k_d$  of B = 2.3%,  $k_p$  = 11%]

Intestinal disappearance (mobile bag) = 32%

Met bioavailability (78% x 0.32) = <u>25%</u>

Increase in plasma Met above control (0 g Mepron)						
20 g Mepron	63 g Mepron	10.7 g infused Met				
33%	66%	59%				
Met bioavailability [5.9 g of absorbed Met / (20 g x 0.87 Met)] = <u>34%</u>						
[11.8 g of a	bsorbed Met / (63 g x 0.	87 Met)] = <u>22%</u>				

Koenig and Rode (2001)

# Methods used for determining efficacy of RPAA supplements and procedural shortcomings

#### In vitro methods

- Ammonia release
- Amino acid release (modified 3-step method)
  - In rumen buffer
  - In abomasal buffer
  - In intestinal buffer
- Amino acid release (Cornell/Ross assay)

#### In situ methods

Amino acid loss from rumen and intestinal bags

#### In vivo methods

- Milk protein dose-response approach (requires that cows always remain AA deficient)
- Plasma free AA "area-under-the curve" (AUC) approach (requires feeding large amounts at one time)
- Plasma free AA dose-response approach

# Use of the production dose-response approach at the University of New Hampshire

Square	Met equivalents (g/25 kg DM intake)					
Smartamine M	0	10	15	20	25	
HMBi	0	15	20	25	35	
HMB	0	15	20	25	35	

Schwab et al. (2001)

# Least square means for milk protein percentages

Square	Treatment levels				P =		
	1	2	3	4	5	L	Q
Smartamine	2.99	3.08	3.14	3.15	3.13	0.00	0.01
HMBi	3.05	3.11	3.16	3.17	3.19	0.00	0.65
НМВ	3.04	3.02	3.03	3.05	3.03	0.60	0.89

There were no effects (*P* > 0.05) of treatment levels on DMI (26.0 kg/d), milk yield (44.2 kg/d), milk fat content (3.51%) or MUN (11.3 mg/dL)

Schwab et al. (2001)

#### Using the milk protein dose-response approach for estimating "Met-bioavailability" of MetaSmart and Rhodimet AT88



#### Jugular plasma Met concentrations in cows given 50 g doses of two RPMet and two MHA products



#### Plasma Met and Lys responses in low-yielding dairy cows to different levels of duodenally infused Met and Lys



Rulquin and Kowalczyk, 2003

### **Demonstrated linearity of response**



J. Dairy Sci. 100:9585-9601 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-12695

# The plasma free amino acid dose-response technique: A proposed methodology for determining lysine relative bioavailability of rumen-protected lysine supplements

N. L. Whitehouse,\* C. G. Schwab,† and A. F. Brito\*

\*Department of Agriculture, Nutrition, and Food Systems, University of New Hampshire, Durham 03824

+Schwab Consulting LLC, Boscobel, WI 53805

# University of New Hampshire approach for using the plasma free AA dose-response approach

High producing cows are fitted with ruminal cannula for abomasal infusion of the "unprotected" AA



- Latin square experiments with a minimum of 7-d periods (4-d adjustment, last 3 d for blood sampling)
- RPAA supplements are mixed in the TMR 8 h before feeding









# University of New Hampshire approach for using the plasma free AA dose-response approach

 High producing cows are fitted with ruminal cannula for abomasal infusion of the "unprotected" AA



- Latin square experiments with a minimum of 7-d periods (4-d adjustment, last 3 d for blood sampling)
- RPAA supplements are mixed in the TMR 8 h before feeding
- Cows are fed at 8-h intervals and milked at 12-h intervals
- Blood samples are collected last 3 days of each period at 2, 4, 6 and 8 h after morning feeding. Deproteinized plasma samples are pooled within day (across 4 sampling times) for each cow before AA analysis

### **Evaluation of AjiPro-L 1G**



Bioavailability: (0.0068/0.0183) x 100 = <u>37%</u>

Whitehouse et al. (2012)

### A comparison of the relative bioavailabilities of Lys in AjiPro-L 2G and 3G



Bioavailability values for 2G and 3G were 37.9 and 42.4%, respectively. Slopes were not different.

Whitehouse et al. (2017)

### Determination of Lys bioavailability in old Smartamine ML and New AminoShure-L



Bioavailability: Smartamine ML

New AminoShure-L

 $(0.0229/0.0263) \times 100 = \frac{87.1\%}{10.0027/0.0263} \times 100 = \frac{10.3\%}{10.3\%}$ 

Whitehouse et al. (2017)

### A comparison of the relative bioavailabilities of Lys in AjiPro-L 3G and Smartamine ML



Bioavailability values for Lys in AjiPro-L 3G and Smartamine ML were 46.7 and 80.7%, respectively. CI were 41.9-51.5 and 76.1-85.3%, respectively

Whitehouse et al. (2018)

Reiners, et al. 2017. Lysine bioavailability among 2 lipidcoated lysine products after exposure to silage. Trans. Anim. Sci. 1:311-319.

- 9 abomasally cannulated ewes (70 kg)
- Basal diet (50% corn silage, 42.8% corn, 2.5% molasses, 2.0% fishmeal, 0.66% urea) (11.2% CP)
- 9 x 9 Latin square
  - ✓ Basal
  - ✓ Infused Lys HCL (5 and 10 g)
  - ✓ Fed Lys HCL (5 and 10 g)
  - ✓ LysiPEARL (EB) (5 and 10 g)
  - ✓ USA Lysine (EC) (5 and 10 g)

Reiners, et al. 2017. Lysine bioavailability among 2 lipidcoated lysine products after exposure to silage. Trans. Anim. Sci. 1:311-319.

- 9 abomasally cannulated ewes (70 kg)
- Basal diet (50% corn silage, 42.8% corn, 2.5% molasses, 2.0% fishmeal, 0.66% urea) (11.2% CP)
- 9 x 9 Latin square
  - ✓ Basal
  - ✓ Infused Lys HCL (5 and 10 g)
  - ✓ Fed Lys HCL (5 and 10 g)
  - ✓ LysiPEARL (EB) (5 and 10 g)
  - ✓ USA Lysine (EC) (5 and 10 g)



### Changes in plasma free sulfur AA concentrations with increasing amounts of infused or fed Met<sup>1</sup>



<sup>1</sup>Two 5 x 5 replicates (2013, 2014)

Chirgwin et al. (2015)

### A comparison of the relative bioavailabilities of Met in Smartamine M and Smartamine ML



Bioavailability values for Met in Smartamine M and Smartamine ML were 83.5 and 81.3%, respectively. CI were 78.2-88.8 and 78.0-84.6%, respectively

Whitehouse et al. (2018)

#### Comparative bioavailability of four RP-Met products using the plasma free AA dose-response method using lactating cows fed a Metdeficient diet



- Two 5 x 5 Latin squares
- Compared to SmartUP, the relative Met bioavailabilities of Mepron and AminoShure M were 27 and 22 %, respectively

Whitehouse et al. (2015)

# **Novimet trial**



#### Actual Met bioavailability:

Smartamine M – 80% Mepron – 27.5% Novimet – 19.7% (different from supplier provided 68%)

#### g of Metabolizable Met:

Smartamine M – 600 g/ kg of product Mepron – 234 g/ kg of product Novimet – 99 g/ kg of product

Zang et al. (2016)

### Changes in plasma free sulfur AA concentrations with increasing amounts of infused methionine analog (HMTBa)



### Changes in plasma free sulfur AA concentrations with increasing amounts of infused or fed MetaSmart



# Take home messages

- 1. **DO NOT** feed a "rumen protected AA supplement" unless you have seen confirmed estimates of "bioavailability" obtained with lactating cows using the plasma AA dose-response method
- 2. **CONFIRM** that the RPAA supplement was mixed and consumed with the rest of the diet during the experiment
- 3. **DO NOT** accept comparative milk production data as "proof of claims of AA bioavailability". Such data "always" favors inferior products

Cows respond to increased absorption of a nutrient only if that nutrient is the most limiting factor for production. Therefore, in a comparative of different sources of that nutrient, "all" cows fed the best product must remain deficient in that nutrient <u>and</u> no other factor can be limiting production. If that isn't the case, then the superiority of the best product won't be seen, and the inferior product(s), relative to the superior product, will look better than they are.